In the matter of Randy Mascarenas v. James Stachowiak, Docket #110401

On April 14, 2011, the Accuser, Randy Mascarenas filed a Complaint with this court.

On May 1, the Complaint was sent to the Grand Jury.

On August 1, 2011, the Grand Jury issued with an 11 count Indictment.

On August 1, 2011, the Clerk of the Court provided the Accused, James Stachowiak the Indictment with an explanation that he could answer to the Indictment, or refuse to answer to the Indictment.

On August 8, 2011, the Accused agreed to answer to the Indictment.

On September 11, 2011, Instructions for Trial were provided to both the Accuser and the Accused and the Courtroom was opened.

Neither the Accused or the Accuser made opening statements nor any other comments during Trial.

On September 30, 2011, the Petit Jury began deliberations on the 11 counts.

On November 5, 2011, the Petit Jury, based upon the evidence and testimony provided by both sides, came back with a Verdict of Guilty on 10 counts and Not Guilty on 1 count, to wit:

Count 1: **Guilty** of **Slander**, in that in the Exhibit No. 1-1, you put in an unfavorable light and suggested illegal activity in your accusations of the Accuser's morals and habits, when you said, "Ranger Randy... Randy Mack... is in anal sex, in Alberta, Canada" "and, "that you came into this movement with deception and lies".

Count 2: Not Guilty of Defamation

Count 3: **Guilty** of **Slander**, in that in Exhibit No. 3-2, regarding the PayPal account that was set up for JP4 (Charles Dyer), you claim that you will defend yourself, and that Randy accused you of stealing money and having access to said PayPal account.

Count 4: **Guilty** of **Incitement**, in that in the Exhibit No. 3-2, you imply retribution to those who have made false accusations, without proving any falsehood.

Count 5: **Guilty** of **Defamation**, in that in Exhibit No. 3-3, in that you have grouped Randy Mack and others with supporting one who you claim to be involved in illegal activities, including prostituting her children, and an agent attempting to get others of participating in illegal activities.

Count 6: **Guilty** of **Threat**, in that in Exhibit No. 3-3, in discussing Rand Mack, you have suggested the ease of using commonly available materials for targeting people.

Count 7: **Guilty** of **Incitement**, in that in Exhibit No. 3-3, you stated that Randy Mack is in league with one who is trying to get militia members killed, inciting others to act against him.

Count 8: **Guilty** of **Defamation**, in that in Exhibit No. 3-6, you state that Randy Mack, among others, is not accountable for his activities, and support of others, and that he should be held to the same standard that we expect government to be held to.

Count 9: Guilty of Defamation, in that in Exhibit 3-12, you accuse Randy Mack of being a lapdog.

Count 10: **Guilty** of **Slander**, in that in Exhibit 4-1, you accuse Randy Mack of trying to destroy JP4's (Charles Dyer) donations.

Count 11: **Guilty** of **Defamation**, in that in Exhibit 4-1, that you are attempting to defame and damage the reputation of Randy Mack with allegations that he sought to "destroy JP4's donations".

Audio Exhibits submitted as evidence:

Exhibit No. 1-1

Exhibit No. 3-2

Exhibit No. 3-3

Exhibit No. 3-6

Exhibit No. 3-12

Exhibit No. 4-1

[Note: Exhibits can be viewed at <u>http://www.committee.org/LibertyTree/index.php</u>. You must be registered at the Forum to view the Exhibits. Once Registered, go to the "Common Law Courthouse" and then "Verdict in Trial #110401".]

Every effort was made to provide for a fair trial. Each party, the Accuser and the Accused, were allowed to appoint one juror to the Petit Jury. The Accused was provided the opportunity to counter-file against the Accuser. No contesting of procedure was offered by either party. All evidence and testimony provide by either party was considered by the Petit Jury. Both parties were willing participants in this trial. The only consequence of a verdict in this court will be public disclosure of the Verdict and relevant evidence and testimony.

The Verdict of the Petit Jury is as unbiased as can be obtained by these means. It is void of extraneous and superfluous discussion, and each count was addressed individually, on its merit. The final decision, however, rests with those who read of this trial and understand the purpose of the trial and the complications of the Verdict.